# Traffic Safety Facts Research Note



August 2005 DOT HS 809 861

# **Calculating Lives Saved by Motorcycle Helmets**

William V. Deutermann\*

Based on a comparison of fatal crashes involving motorcycles with two riders, at least one of whom was killed, the National Center for Statistics and Analysis (NCSA) of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) has estimated helmets to be about 37 percent effective in preventing fatalities. In 2003, there were 3,661 rider deaths in fatal motorcycle crashes. An effectiveness of 37 percent for motorcycle helmets suggests that an estimated 1,158 additional motorcyclists would have died were it not for the fact that they were wearing helmets. Had all motorcyclists consistently worn proper helmets, an additional 640 motorcyclists could have survived otherwise fatal crashes in 2003. Unfortunately, the potential lifesaving benefits of helmets are not being realized, as fatalities continue to rise in response to declining helmet usage rates. According to the 2002 National Occupant Protection Use Survey, only 58 percent of motorcyclists nationally were observed to be wearing helmets<sup>2</sup>. This represents a dramatic decline since 2000, when the usage rate was 71 percent.

Despite the fact that less than 3 percent of registered passenger vehicles are motorcycles, motorcyclist fatalities represent about 9 percent of all passenger vehicle rider fatalities. Considering that passenger car rider fatalities are trending downward, policies that result in raising public acceptance of the protective value of helmets can have a significant impact on reducing the total number of lives lost annually on America's highways.

Periodically, NCSA publishes an estimate of lives saved by various policies and strategies. This note describes the methodology used to calculate the contribution of motorcycle helmets to the total number of lives saved.

#### **Calculation of Lives Saved**

The starting point for estimating lives saved by helmets is to establish the effectiveness of helmets. In past years, NCSA has used a figure of .29 for effectiveness. This figure was based on cumulative fatal crash mortality data in the Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS) files from 1982 through 1987. In 2004, NCSA produced a revised estimate of .37 effectiveness based on more recent data to reflect changes that may have occurred in helmet materials and design since 1987.<sup>3</sup> The estimate of effectiveness is a composite of the separate effectiveness of helmets in protecting the lives of motorcycle operators and passengers.

If the total number of motorcyclists who died despite the protection of their helmets is known, then there must be a potential number of fatally injured helmeted motorcyclists that includes the number whose lives were spared due to their helmets. The number of Lives Saved (LS), therefore, must be equal to Fatalities Potential, the potential fatalities, multiplied by the effectiveness:

LS= Fatalities Potential \* .37

The number of potential fatalities is unknown, but we do know that the number of helmeted fatalities is equal to the number of potential fatalities reduced by the complement of the effectiveness, or

Fatalities Helmeted = Fatalities Potential \* (1-.37)

And Potential fatalities are:

Fatalities  $_{Potential} = Fatalities _{Helmeted} / (1-.37)$ 

Returning to Lives Saved, we now have:

 $LS = Fatalities_{Potential} * .37$ 

Or

LS = Fatalities  $_{\text{Helmeted}}$  \*.37/ (1-.37)

\*William V. Deutermann is a mathematical statistician with the Mathematical Analysis Division of the National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA.

Now let us assume that we are living in an ideal society in which all motorcyclists take it upon themselves to wear proper helmets at all times while riding. How many lives would be saved with 100 percent compliance?

The number of Potential Lives Saved (PLS) would equal the sum of the estimated Lives Saved and the total number of fatalities, both helmeted and unhelmeted, multiplied by the effectiveness of helmets:

$$PLS = (Fatalities_{Total} + LS) * .37$$

# **Sample Calculations**

The calculations are carried out using the effectiveness rates separately for operators and passengers, and then summing the results to obtain an estimate of Lives Saved that reflects a weighted average of the two effectiveness rates. The effectiveness for operators is estimated as .37, while the estimate for passengers is higher at .41. An example of the calculation using the 2003 FARS motorcyclist fatality data follows:

LS 
$$_{Operators} = 1,805 (.37/(1-.37))$$
  
= 1,060  
LS  $_{Passengers} = 141 (.41/(1-.41))$   
= 98

And Total LS = 1.158

For Potential Lives Saved in 2003:

PLS 
$$_{Operators}$$
 = (Fatalities + LS )  $_{Operators}$  \* .37  
= (3,382 + 1,060) \* .37  
= 1,644  
PLS  $_{Passenger}$  = (Fatalities + LS )  $_{Passengers}$  \* .41  
= (279 + 98) \* .41  
= 154

And Total Potential Lives Saved = 1,798

The data used in the calculation are shown in table 1.

# **Impact on Estimates of Lives Saved**

Although the method of estimating lives saved by helmets has not changed, adoption of the new effectiveness rate will tend to increase the estimates. Because it is calculated from cumulative data over a tenyear period, the new rate's effect cannot be attributed to any particular year. To do so would cause a sudden bump in the time series data. In order to smooth out the series, the lives saved data for the years 1988 through 2003 will be recalculated using a wedging procedure to gradually introduce the change. Beginning in 1988, the number of lives saved is calculated by applying the new rate to one-sixteenth of the fatality data and the old rate to fifteen-sixteenths of the data. For succeeding years, the fractions are incremented and decremented by onesixteenth until the new rate is used for the entire calculation in 2003.

#### **Definitions**

Of course, head injuries are not the only cause of crash fatalities. When we speak of "effectiveness" of helmets in reducing the risk of death in fatal motorcycle crashes, all types of injuries suffered by riders are included by implication. For example, if a helmet were absolutely certain to prevent a severe head injury, the rider could still die from other traumatic injuries suffered in a crash. Clearly, motorcycle helmets cannot prevent all fatal injuries. Just how effective helmets are in preventing fatalities is a function of both their performance in crashes and the incidence of fatal injuries other than head injuries.

#### **Fatalities**

In the calculation of lives saved, the definition of a fatality is the same as that used in the FARS database, that is, any motorcycle operator or passenger who dies within 30 days of the crash from injuries directly associated with the crash.

**Table 1-Lives Saved by Motorcycle Helmets In 2003** 

Motorcyclists	Effectiveness	Fatalities			Lives	Potential
		Total	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	Saved	Lives Saved
Operators	0.37	3,382	1,805	1,577	1,060	1,644
Passengers	0.41	279	141	138	98	154
Total	0.37	3,661	1,946	1,715	1,158	1,798

## **Motorcycles**

Motorcycles are those vehicles described in FARS codes 80 through 89. These include motorcycles, mopeds, 3-wheeled cycles other than All-Terrain Vehicles (ATVs); "other" motorcycles; and motorcycles of unknown type. All of these body types are referred to collectively as motorcycles.

## **Motorcycle Riders**

Motorcycle occupants, or riders, are either operators or passengers. In the FARS codes, motor vehicle occupants are composed of drivers, passengers of a motor vehicle in transport, or unknown occupants of a motor vehicle in transport. In the calculation of motorcycle riders' lives saved, the unknown occupants are distributed proportionately between motorcycle operators and passengers.

#### **Helmeted and Unhelmeted**

In calculating lives saved, the FARS variable REST\_USE, (Restraint Use) is used to determine whether or not a motorcycle rider is helmeted. A rider is considered to be unhelmeted when coded either as 00 (None Used/Not Applicable) or 15 (Helmet Used Improperly). With the exception of unknowns, which were proportionally distributed, all other codes were interpreted as helmeted. This includes codes for safety belts and child safety seats. The assumption made is that the original investigator who filled out the Police Accident Report, or PAR, had used a restraint code to indicate that a helmet was worn. The number of cases that are coded in this manner is quite small, lending support to the assumption.

#### References

- 1. The method was first used at NHTSA in 1988. See: Evans, Leonard, and Frick, Michael, "Helmet Effectiveness in Preventing Motorcycle Driver and Passenger Fatalities: Accident Analysis and Prevention," U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Volume 20, Number 6, 1988.
- National Center for Statistics and Analysis, Safety Belt and Helmet Use in 2002 - Overall Results, NHTSA Technical Report DOT HS 809 500.
- 3. National Center for Statistics and Analysis, Motorcycle Helmet Effectiveness Revisited, NHTSA Technical Report DOT HS 809 715.

For additional copies of this research note, please call 800-934-8517 or fax your request to 202-366-3189. For questions regarding the data reported in this research, contact William Deutermann (202-366-5206). Internet users may access this research note and other general information on highway traffic safety at <a href="https://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/departments/nrd-30/ncsa/AvailInf.html">www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/departments/nrd-30/ncsa/AvailInf.html</a>.



